

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,237		07/14/2003	Jorgen K. Smedegaard	6520.200-US	6520.200-US 5828 EXAMINER	
23650	7590	09/27/2005		EXAM		
NOVO N			AHMED, A	AHMED, AAMER S		
PATENT 1 100 COLL				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3763		
PRINCET	ON, NJ (08540				
				DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				The				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/619,237	SMEDEGAARD, JORGEN K.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Aamer S. Ahmed	3763					
The MAILING I Period for Reply	OATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress				
WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spe - Failure to reply within the se	IGER, FROM THE MAILING D, available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 the mailing date of this communication. cified above, the maximum statutory period of the tor extended period for reply will, by statute ffice later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE $\underline{1}$ MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	uly 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is F		action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the above 5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) 7) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification	n is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
		drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See						
		tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob caminer. Note the attached Office	="	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119							
a) All b) Sor 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies of application	me * c) None of: copies of the priority document: copies of the priority document: f the certified copies of the prior on from the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)	-4 (DTO 202)	4 □ 1	(070,440)					
	ed (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-18, drawn to a delivery device, classified in class 604, subclass 890.1.
- II. Claims 19-23, drawn to a method for treatment, classified in class 604, subclass 506.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product; for example an IV drip and needle set with metering device.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group A: Figures 1-6B and 8A

Art Unit: 3763

} ;

Group B: Figure 8B

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,237

Art Unit: 3763

2 1

Page 4

A telephone call was made to Marc Began was made on September 16, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aamer S. Ahmed whose telephone number is 571-272-5965. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ΔΔ

amer Sejed Wrmed

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERIT DONY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Application/Control Number: 10/619,237

Art Unit: 3763

Page 5